IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KURIAN JOSEPH

FRIDAY, THE 7TH SEPTEMBER 2007 / 16TH BHADRA 1929

RP.No. 2 of 2004(L)

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT/ORDER IN OP.7881/1995
.....

REVIEW PETITIONERS/PETITIONERS:
----

1. SRI. RAMESH M. MEHTA, DIRECTOR,
SAIT NAGJEE PURUSHOTHAM & CO.(P) LTD.,
BIG BAZAR, CALICUT-673 001.

2. SAIT NAGJEE PURUSHOTHAM & CO.(P) LTD.,
BIG BAZAR, CALICUT-673 001.

BY ADV. SRI. PRIYA MAHESH

RESPONDENTS/RESPONDENTS:
----

1. STATE OF KERALA, REP. BY ITS SECRETARY
INDUSTRIES DEPARTMENT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. DIRCTOR OF INDUSTRIES & COMMERCE,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. GENERAL MANAGER, DISTRICT INDUSTRIES
CENTRE, KOZHIKODE.

4. M.V. SULEKHA, W/O. LATE CHEKKU HAJI,
PARAT, PEEDIKAYULLA PARAMBU,
P.O. THUVAKUNNU, KANNUR DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.K.RAMAKUMAR
GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT. K.R. DEEPA.

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 07/09/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

KURIAN JOSEPH, J.

Review Petition No. 2 OF 2004
IN
O.P. No. 7881 OF 1995

Dated this the 7th day of September, 2007.

O R D E R

The review petitioners apprehended that while passing orders as per the direction issued by this Court in the common judgment dated 12.06.2003 in O.P. Nos. 7881/1995 and 7718/1996, the intervening developments leading to Annexure A and B orders passed by this Court and Annexure C order passed by the General Manager of District Industries Centre, Kozhikode may not be considered by the Government.

2. I do not find any basis for such an apprehension because the Government has already been directed to pass orders on the application filed by the petitioner and also the representation of the party respondent. Necessarily, Government has to take into consideration the intervening developments referred to as Annexure A, B and C orders in the review petition. Therefore, the apprehension is without any basis.

In the above circumstances, no orders are necessary in this review petition. It is accordingly closed.

KURIAN JOSEPH, JUDGE.

smp